

Peering through the fog

Examining prior examples of the impact of geopolitics on financial markets in light of current market events

February 2022

A note of condolence

We are extremely saddened to learn about Russia's military hostility and the likely devastating humanitarian impact. Our thoughts and prayers are with the millions of Ukrainian people currently affected as well as any colleagues and clients who may have friends and family in the region.

A tragedy unfolds

Risk assets entered 2022 with rich valuations in spite of numerous challenges such as soaring inflation and tightening monetary policy in many countries. These challenges have acted as a headwind for financial assets, especially those with a long duration. Inflation and rising rates, however, were within macro-economic scenarios portfolio managers and economists typically lay out for markets. What is far less forecastable are the geopolitical conflicts with their inherently human nature, as we are currently witnessing between Russia and Ukraine. Compounding the economic with the geopolitical has contributed to year-to-date losses across both equities and fixed income. The S&P 500 has just entered correction territory (~-10%) and the NASDAQ which has a higher implied duration has been deep in correction territory already (~-14%). Despite this, the US 10-year yield has risen by almost 45 basis points¹.

Russia's invasion of Ukraine has brought geopolitics to the forefront. While each geopolitical conflict is unique, including this one, the number of past events can teach us something about how markets respond to them. Even in the short two decades since the turn of the millennium, markets have faced 9/11, numerous military conflicts in the Middle East and Central Asia as well as instances of trade wars between the major powers. We cannot know how the conflict between Russia and Ukraine will play out, whether it will draw in other players, or how long it will last. However, by consideration of prior geopolitical events, and their impacts on markets, we may at least provide context on the possible impact of this event. Through these, we hope to help investors peer through the fog of market sentiment and stay the course during these times of geopolitically driven uncertainty.

Can investors position for geopolitical events?

Investors have good reason to wonder about portfolio implications when the news flow and market behavior is dominated by a geopolitical event. Not only do these events have the potential to cause far-reaching outcomes for the global economy and financial markets, but their inherently human component creates a level of uncertainty that is difficult to properly discount. This is why geopolitical events can often be catalysts for volatility spikes in financial markets.

¹ Source: Bloomberg, as of February 24, 2022.

In our view, investors can make portfolios generally more resilient against sudden shocks in the following ways:

- Maintain geographical, sector and return driver diversification in portfolios.
- Build portfolio resilience through strategic allocations to downside protection assets such as sovereign bonds, inflation-linked bonds, and gold.
- In the diversified alternatives space, some managers may have the necessary skillset and flexibility to navigate these kinds of crisis through dynamic and opportunistic risk expression and a more robust risk management toolkit.

However, now that we are in the midst of the event, is it advisable to realign a portfolio for what might eventuate? It is certainly possible to build scenarios around potential outcomes, but in many cases they can be inherently binary. A large scale conflict disrupting global energy supplies could trigger a stagflationary recession. Alternatively, a reduction in tensions could lead to a relief rally. In the former scenario, it would make sense to consider selling risk assets and buy gold and commodities, for example. In the latter, we might see a recovery in risk assets, while hedging assets like fixed income and gold suffering. How can we tell which scenario is most likely to materialize? The nature of many geopolitical events makes positioning for them a 'feast or famine' bet.

What can we learn from previous events like these? The past is by no means an exact guide to the future but when it comes to geopolitics and portfolio implications, it offers some valuable lessons. **Appendix 1** shows that over the last century geopolitical events have certainly led to notable drawdowns in the short term². Germany invading neighboring countries at the onset of WWII or the Arab oil embargo stand out as particularly severe drawdowns. However, when looking at the whole series of events in the table, the typical sell-off lasted about a month and markets had fully recovered all losses after another month and half. There were of course exceptions to the rule. In some cases, it took years for markets to recover from the drawdown, especially when key economic variables such as oil were impacted, as was the case in 1973.

However, as pointed out above, it is difficult to tell how impactful a geopolitical event will be at the onset. When it happened, few would have thought that the Dredd-Scott decision would precipitate the US Civil War or that the assassination of an Archduke would trigger World War I. On the other hand, it was not unreasonable to fear at the time that the Cuban Missile Crisis would be the beginning of World War III. We always know more with the benefit of hindsight.

² It should be acknowledged that in the real world, market movements are seldom driven by just one event, especially over longer periods. Appendix 1 needs to be seen in this context; each sell-off may be attributable to the named event to varying degrees.

Keep Calm and Carry On

In light of the above, our best advice to investors is therefore to stay restrained and not overreact. Positioning portfolios for the unfolding of geopolitical events whilst they are already developing requires an ability to see into the future. History has shown us that sell-offs driven by geopolitics can be so short-lived that even clairvoyant investors may struggle to time them.

On balance, the attempt to position for geopolitical events at this stage in the crisis is more likely to lead to the selling of risk assets after markets have already discounted the event. It is equally easy to miss the inflection point. Opportunity costs could be enormous in the long-term. Not being invested during the best 10 trading days over an entire 20-year period can cut cumulative portfolio performance in half³. Indeed, investors may be able to capitalize on temporarily elevated risk premia during periods of markets stress by rebalancing portfolios towards their long-term investment policy benchmark after a significant drawdown⁴.

By using history to peer through the fog, for now, we suggest investors should prepare rather than predict and see through geopolitical turbulences whilst remaining vigilant of the situation.



Chris Canstein

Investment Research Specialist
Global Strategic Research Team
St Louis, US



Max Becker

Investment Research Analyst
Global Strategic Research Team
London, UK

³ See JP Morgan, '2019 Retirement guide': <https://am.jpmorgan.com/content/dam/jpm-am-aem/global/en/insights/retirement-insights/guide-to-retirement-us.pdf> (slide 44) Accessed on February 23, 2022

⁴ See Mercer, 'Rebalancing in troubled markets': <https://www.mercer.com/our-thinking/wealth/rebalancing-in-troubled-markets.html> Accessed on February 23, 2022

Appendix 1. Equity market selloffs and recoveries around geopolitical events

Event	Event date	Start of selloff	Duration of selloff (Trading Days)	Duration to recover prior level (Trading Days)	Size Of selloff (%)	1w from bottom	3m from bottom	12m from bottom
WW-II Germany annexes Czechoslovakia	15-Mar-39	10-Mar-39	22	108	-20.5	2.0	8.5	18.9
WW-II Germany attacks France	09-May-40	09-May-40	22	745	-25.8	10.6	17.1	9.2
WW-II Pearl Harbor	07-Dec-41	04-Dec-41	17	201	-10.8	6.2	-3.8	15.3
N. Korea invades S. Korea	24-Jun-50	22-Jun-50	17	43	-12.9	5.5	19.2	31.4
Suez Crisis	29-Oct-56	05-Nov-56	18	131	-6.8	3.3	-1.4	-6.0
Berlin Wall built	13-Aug-61	22-Aug-61	25	18	-3.9	1.4	8	-14.6
Cuban missile crisis	14-Oct-62	15-Oct-62	7	9	-6.6	2	22.7	36.5
Military operation in Vietnam	07-Aug-64	17-Jul-64	15	36	-3.2	0.5	4.8	5.8
Six Day Israel Arab war	05-Jun-67	08-May-67	20	40	-6.5	3.5	6.5	13
Israel Arab War/Oil Embargo¹	16-Oct-73	29-Oct-73	27	1475	-17.1	6.3	5.6	-28.2
Iranian hostage crisis	04-Nov-79	05-Oct-79	24	51	-10.2	3.6	16.4	29.3
Invasion of Grenada	25-Oct-83	10-Oct-83	22	304	-6.3	2.8	-3.7	4.3
First Gulf War	16-Jan-91	01-Jan-91	6	8	-5.7	0.3	19.9	31.4
9/11 attacks¹	11-Sep-01	10-Sep-01	6	15	-11.6	4.3	18.5	-12.5
Iraq war	20-Mar-03	21-Mar-03	7	16	-5.3	3.6	15.8	32.8
Intervention in Libya	10-Mar-11	18-Feb-11	18	29	-6.4	3.3	0.9	11.7
Ukraine (Crimea) conflict	14-Mar-14	07-Mar-14	6	13	-2	1.1	5.2	11.5
Intervention in Syria	22-Sep-14	18-Sep-14	21	12	-7.4	4.2	8.4	9.1
Brexit vote	23-Jun-16	08-Jun-16	14	9	-5.6	5.1	8	20.9
Airstrike on Syrian airbase	07-Apr-17	01-Mar-17	32	16	-2.8	0.6	5.1	4.2
Median			18.0	32.5	-6.6	3.4	8.0	11.6

Source: Deutsche Bank, Haver Analytics, Mercer. S&P 500 Index. ¹Event occurred around recessions.

Important notices

References to Mercer shall be construed to include Mercer LLC and/or its associated companies.

© 2022 Mercer LLC. All rights reserved.

References to Mercer shall be construed to include Mercer LLC and/or its associated companies.

This contains confidential and proprietary information of Mercer and is intended for the exclusive use of the parties to whom it was provided by Mercer. Its content may not be modified, sold or otherwise provided, in whole or in part, to any other person or entity without Mercer's prior written permission.

This does not constitute an offer to purchase or sell any securities.

The findings, ratings and/or opinions expressed herein are the intellectual property of Mercer and are subject to change without notice. They are not intended to convey any guarantees as to the future performance of the investment products, asset classes or capital markets discussed.

Past performance does not guarantee future results. The value of investments can go down as well as up, and you may not get back the amount you have invested. Investments denominated in a foreign currency will fluctuate with the value of the currency. Certain investments, such as securities issued by small capitalization, foreign and emerging market issuers, real property, and illiquid, leveraged or high-yield funds, carry additional risks that should be considered before choosing an investment manager or making an investment decision.

This does not contain investment advice relating to your particular circumstances. No investment decision should be made based on this information without first obtaining appropriate professional advice and considering your circumstances. Mercer provides recommendations based on the particular client's circumstances, investment objectives and needs. As such, investment results will vary and actual results may differ materially.

For Mercer's conflict of interest disclosures, contact your Mercer representative or see <http://www.mercer.com/conflictsofinterest>.

Information contained herein has been obtained from a range of third-party sources. Although the information is believed to be reliable, Mercer has not sought to verify it independently. As such, Mercer makes no representations or warranties as to the accuracy of the information presented and takes no responsibility or liability (including for indirect,

consequential or incidental damages) for any error, omission or inaccuracy in the data supplied by any third party.

Mercer does not provide tax or legal advice. You should contact your tax advisor, accountant and/or attorney before making any decisions with tax or legal implications.

Not all services mentioned are available in all jurisdictions. Please contact your Mercer representative for more information.

Investment management and advisory services for US clients are provided by Mercer Investments LLC (Mercer Investments). Mercer Investments LLC is registered to do business as "Mercer Investment Advisers LLC" in the following states: Arizona, California, Florida, Illinois, Kentucky, New Jersey, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Texas and West Virginia; as "Mercer Investments LLC (Delaware)" in Georgia; as "Mercer Investments LLC of Delaware" in Louisiana; and "Mercer Investments LLC, a limited liability company of Delaware" in Oregon. Mercer Investments is a federally registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended. Registration as an investment adviser does not imply a certain level of skill or training. The oral and written communications of an adviser provide you with information about which you determine to hire or retain an adviser. Mercer Investments' Form ADV Parts 2A and 2B can be obtained by written request directed to: Compliance Department, Mercer Investments, 99 High Street, Boston, MA 02110.

Certain regulated services in Europe are provided by Mercer Global Investments Europe Limited and Mercer Limited. Mercer Global Investments Europe Limited and Mercer Limited are regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland under the European Union (Markets in Financial Instruments) Regulation 2017, as an investment firm. Registered officer: Charlotte House, Charlemont Street, Dublin 2, Ireland. Registered in Ireland No. 416688. Mercer Limited is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Registered in England and Wales No. 984275. Registered Office: 1 Tower Place West, Tower Place, London EC3R 5BU.

Investment management services for Canadian investors are provided by Mercer Global Investments Canada Limited. Investment consulting services for Canadian investors are provided by Mercer (Canada) Limited.

February, 2022.